

Alexander G. Calfo, (SBN 152891)
alexander.calfo@btlaw.com
Kelley S. Olah, (SBN 245180)
kelley.olah@btlaw.com
Gabrielle J. Anderson-Thompson, (SBN 247039)
gabrielle.anderson-thompson@btlaw.com
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
2049 Century Park East, Suite 3550
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 284-3880
Facsimile: (310) 284-3894

Attorneys for Defendants
DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., JOHNSON &
JOHNSON (erroneously sued as "Johnson &
Johnson, Inc."); JOHNSON & JOHNSON
SERVICES, INC., DEPUY INTERNATIONAL
LIMITED (erroneously sued as "DePuy
International, Ltd.")

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANDREW RALSTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC.,
JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES,
INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON, INC.,
DEPUY INTERNATIONAL, LTD.,
THOMAS P. SCHMALZRIED, M.D.,
THOMAS P. SCHMALZRIED, M.D. A
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION; and
DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,,

Defendants.

Case No. CV13-03274-EMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: STIPULATION TO
STAY ALL PROCEEDINGS**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Trial Date: None Set

TO ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Upon consideration of the Stipulation to Stay Proceedings submitted by Plaintiff

ANDREW RALSTON and Defendants DEPUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., JOHNSON &
JOHNSON SERVICES, INC., JOHNSON & JOHNSON (erroneously sued as "Johnson &
Johnson, Inc."), DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LIMITED (erroneously sued as "DePuy

1 International, Ltd.”), THOMAS P. SCHMALZRIED, M.D. and THOMAS P. SCHMALZRIED A
2 PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION (hereafter collectively “the Parties”); upon consideration of
3 all documents, files, and pleadings in this action; and upon good cause shown, it is hereby
4 ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The Parties’ request for a stay of proceedings is GRANTED;
- 6 2. All proceedings in this action are hereby stayed, pending a decision by the Judicial
7 Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on whether this case should be transferred to In re DePuy
8 Orthopaedics, Inc. Pinnacle Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket No. 2244.
- 9 3. Deadlines relating to any outstanding responsive pleading are extended pending
10 entry of a Scheduling Order in the MDL court addressing responsive pleadings and the deadline to
11 file any remand motion is extended pursuant to the MDL court’s August 14, 2012 “Order
12 Regarding Cases Removed from State Court,” and any subsequent orders that the MDL Court may
13 issue.

14
15 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 7/22
17 Dated: _____, 2013

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

